Editorial

Educators' Perspectives on the Current Practices of Research in Bioethics Education

Russell Franco D'Souza^{1,2}, Mary Mathew³, Krishna Mohan Surapaneni^{4.5*}

Corresponding Author: Krishna Mohan Surapaneni **E-mail:** krishnamohan.surapaneni@gmail.com

Engagement in research is considered one of the most vital and rewarding skills in academics. Publishing high quality research is not only evidence of academic excellence but also an essential aspect of advancing any sector [1]. In medical education, the emphasis on research, even from undergraduate level has steadily increased, making it an integral component of faculty development and institutional growth. Yet, while fields like medical/clinical education and healthcare delivery have advanced significantly, bioethics education has not received the same level of attention or rigor in research [2]. Despite its importance, bioethics education research still lags, highlighting a significant gap in health professions education.

The importance of research extends beyond academic reputation. It is fundamental to faculty promotion, fostering leadership skills, and creating a culture of inquiry and innovation. Collaborative research plays a pivotal role in enhancing interpersonal relationships and aligning institutional goals [3]. Yet, these benefits have not been fully realized in the context of bioethics education. The existing research landscape in this domain is heavily skewed toward clinical trials and descriptive studies of ethical dilemmas in healthcare. While such studies are valuable, they do little to address the pedagogical questions that are critical for educators: how should bioethics be taught, assessed, and integrated into medical education in a way that fosters meaningful learning? Compared to medical education, which has benefitted from decades of systematic research, bioethics education remains underexplored. In medical education, evidence-based frameworks for curriculum planning, teaching methodologies, assessment tools, and feedback mechanisms have been developed and refined over time [4]. These advancements have transformed the field into a structured and scientifically grounded discipline. In contrast, bioethics education is still grappling with fundamental questions about how to teach and assess its principles effectively [5]. Traditional lecture-based classes and theoretical exams, often used in other areas of education, are inadequate for a subject that demands critical thinking, reflective practice, and ethical reasoning. The lack of rigorous research leaves educators without a clear roadmap, forcing them to rely on intuitive or ad hoc approaches.

Several challenges contribute to the slow development of research in bioethics education. One significant barrier is the lack of expertise among educators. Many faculty members lack formal training in educational research, and even fewer have specialized knowledge in bioethics. This gap in expertise limits their ability to design and conduct meaningful studies that can inform teaching practices [6]. Time constraints further exacerbate this issue. Faculty members often juggle multiple responsibilities, including clinical duties, administrative tasks, and teaching, leaving little room for

¹Department of Education, UNESCO Chair in Bioethics, Melbourne, Australia.

²International Institute of Organizational Psychological Medicine, 71 Cleeland Street, Dandenong Victoria, Melbourne, 3175 Australia.

³Department of Pathology, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal, Manipal Academy of Higher Education (MAHE), Tiger Circle Road, Madhav Nagar, Manipal, Karnataka.

⁴Department of Biochemistry, Panimalar Medical College Hospital & Research Institute, Varadharajapuram, Poonamallee, Chennai, Tamil Nadu.

⁵Department of Medical Education, Panimalar Medical College Hospital & Research Institute, Varadharajapuram, Poonamallee, Chennai, Tamil Nadu.

research activities. The result is a vicious cycle where the lack of time and expertise inhibits research, which in turn prevents the development of evidence-based practices that could make teaching more efficient and impactful.

Another major issue is the low prioritization of research in bioethics education. Compared to clinical or technical research, which often yields tangible and immediate results, research in bioethics education is seen as less critical. One reason for this perception is the difficulty in measuring its outcomes [7]. Changes in ethical reasoning, attitudes, and behaviors are inherently abstract and take time to manifest, making them challenging to quantify using traditional assessment tools. Unlike improvements in clinical skills, which can often be measured through objective tests or performance metrics, the impact of bioethics education requires longitudinal studies and more nuanced evaluation methods. This complexity discourages many educators from pursuing research in this area [8].

Funding is another significant barrier. Research in clinical and technical domains enjoys relatively greater access to grants and financial resources, while bioethics education research often struggles to secure funding. The limited availability of grants not only discourages innovation but also prevents institutions from investing in dedicated programs for bioethics education research [9]. Without financial support, even motivated educators may find it difficult to pursue their research goals. The subjective nature of bioethics further complicates matters. Ethical reasoning and decision-making are deeply contextual, influenced by cultural, social, and personal factors. This variability makes it difficult to develop standardized assessments or frameworks, creating additional challenges for researchers [10].

Despite these obstacles, the integration of bioethics into medical education is more important than ever. Healthcare professionals are frequently confronted with complex ethical dilemmas that require a strong foundation in bioethical principles. Equipping them with the tools to navigate these challenges is essential for ensuring ethical and patient-centered care. To achieve this, bioethics education must move beyond traditional teaching methods and embrace more interactive and student-centered approaches [11]. Strategies such as case-based learning, role-playing, reflective writing, and problem-based learning have shown promise in fostering deeper engagement and ethical reasoning. However, these methods need to be systematically evaluated through research to establish their effectiveness and scalability.

The assessment of bioethics education also requires innovation. Traditional exams and assessments are poorly suited to measuring the nuanced outcomes of bioethics education, such as changes in moral reasoning or ethical decision-making. New tools and approaches, such as narrative assessments, portfolios, and situational judgment tests, need to be developed and validated. These tools should not only capture the immediate learning outcomes but also track long-term changes in attitudes and behaviors [12]. Research in this area could provide valuable insights into what works and what doesn't, helping educators refine their teaching practices.

Addressing the challenges in bioethics education research requires a multi-faceted approach. Institutions must take the lead in creating an environment that encourages and supports research in this field. Faculty training programs in educational research and bioethics can help bridge the expertise gap, equipping educators with the skills they need to conduct high-quality research. Adjustments to workload and time allocations could provide educators with the space they need to focus on research. Financial support is equally important [13]. Dedicated grants and funding opportunities for bioethics education research would provide the resources needed to fuel innovation and experimentation.

Collaboration is another critical factor. Partnerships between institutions, both nationally and internationally, can facilitate the sharing of ideas, resources, and best practices. Collaborative research projects can also pool expertise and resources, making it easier to tackle complex questions. The establishment of networks or consortia focused on bioethics education research could further accelerate progress in the field. These networks could serve as platforms for sharing research findings, developing standardized frameworks, and fostering a community of practice among educators and researchers.

One of the most pressing needs in bioethics education research is the development of evidence-based frameworks for teaching and assessment. While there is a growing recognition of the

importance of bioethics education, its integration into medical curricula often feels like an afterthought. Research is needed to identify effective ways of embedding bioethics into existing curricula without overloading students or faculty [14]. This includes exploring interdisciplinary approaches that integrate bioethics with clinical and technical subjects, making it more relevant and engaging for students.

The current state of bioethics education research highlights a significant gap that cannot be ignored. While the challenges are substantial, they also present an opportunity for growth and innovation. With greater institutional support, increased funding, and commitment to scholarly engagement, bioethics education can evolve into a robust and well-researched field. This evolution will not only improve the quality of education but also prepare healthcare professionals to navigate the ethical complexities of modern medicine. Bioethics education is a cornerstone of medical professionalism, and its development is essential for ensuring that future healthcare leaders are equipped to make thoughtful, ethical decisions in an increasingly complex world.

The way forward involves a collective effort. Educators, researchers, institutions, and policymakers must come together to prioritize bioethics education research. By addressing the existing barriers and fostering a culture of innovation and collaboration, we can ensure that bioethics becomes an integral part of medical education. The goal is not just to teach bioethics as a subject but to instill it as a foundational value in healthcare practice. The future of bioethics education depends on our willingness to invest in its research and development today, creating a lasting impact for generations to come.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sanabria-de la Torre, R, Quiñones-Vico MI, Ubago-Rodríguez A, Buendía-Eisman A, Montero-Vílchez T, Arias-Santiago S. Medical students' interest in research: changing trends during university training. Front Med 2023;10:1257574.
- Amorim FF, Santana LA, Toledo IL, Rocha Júnior EFD, Silva CCGD, Balieiro VAT, Almeida KJQ. Undergraduate Research in Medical Education. Rev da Assoc Med Brasil 1992;63(12):1017– 8
- 3. Mass-Hernández LM, Acevedo-Aguilar LM, Lozada-Martínez ID, Osorio-Agudelo LS, Maya-Betancourth JGEM, Paz-Echeverry OA, Paz-Echeverry MJ, Castillo-Pastuzan HS, Rojas-Pimentel JC, Rahman S. Undergraduate research in medicine: A summary of the evidence on problems, solutions and outcomes. Ann Med Surg 2012;74:103280.
- 4. Tuohy B, Lee LM, Strand N, Eldakar-Hein S, Gadra E. What Does "Bioethics" Mean? Education, Training, and Shaping the Future of Our Field. Am J Bioethics 2024;24(9):35–8.
- 5. Tolchin B, Willey JZ, Prager K. Education research: a case-based bioethics curriculum for neurology residents. Neurology 2015;84(13):e91–3.
- 6. Ten Have H, Patrão Neves MDC. Bioethics, education. In Dictionary of global bioethics (pp. 171-172). Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2021.
- 7. Chowning JT, Griswold JC, Kovarik DN, Collins LJ. Fostering critical thinking, reasoning, and argumentation skills through bioethics education. PloS One 2021;7(5):e36791.
- 8. Avci E. Evaluating the effectiveness of bioethics education through quality standards and indicators. Int J Ethics Education 2021;7(1):5-19.
- 9. Avci E. Drawing on other disciplines to define quality in bioethics education. Qual Higher Educ 2017;23(3):201-12.
- 10. Nunes R, Duarte I, Santos C, Rego G. Education for values and bioethics. Springer Plus; 2018.
- 11. Iltis AS. Look who's talking: the interdisciplinarity of bioethics and the implications for bioethics education. J Med Philos 2009;31(6):629-41.
- 12. Opel DJ, Olson ME. Ethics for the pediatrician: bioethics Education and Resources. Pediatr Rev 2012;33(8):370-3.
- 13. Kon AA. The role of empirical research in bioethics. Am J Bioethics 2009;9(6-7):59-65.
- 14. Hunt MR, Carnevale FA. Moral experience: a framework for bioethics research. J Med Ethics 2011;37(11):658-62.

Funding: None; Conflict of interest: Nil; Acknowledgements: Nil