

## Modernizing Bioethics Education with Innovative Teaching-Learning Techniques

Russell Franco D'Souza<sup>1,2</sup>, Mary Mathew<sup>3</sup>, Krishna Mohan Surapaneni<sup>4,5\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Education, UNESCO Chair in Bioethics, Melbourne, Australia.

<sup>2</sup>International Institute of Organizational Psychological Medicine, 71 Cleeland Street, Dandenong Victoria, Melbourne, 3175 Australia.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal, Manipal Academy of Higher Education (MAHE), Tiger Circle Road, Madhav Nagar, Manipal, Karnataka 576104, INDIA.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Panimalar Medical College Hospital & Research Institute, Varadharajapuram, Poonamallee, Chennai – 600 123, Tamil Nadu, INDIA.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Medical Education, Panimalar Medical College Hospital & Research Institute, Varadharajapuram, Poonamallee, Chennai – 600 123, Tamil Nadu, INDIA.

**Corresponding Author:** Krishna Mohan Surapaneni

**E-mail:** krishnamohan.surapaneni@gmail.com

---

Bioethics, as a discipline, lies at the heart of healthcare, addressing moral and ethical questions that arise in medical practice and research. It equips healthcare professionals with the skills to navigate complex dilemmas involving patient autonomy, confidentiality, justice, and the principles of beneficence and nonmaleficence [1]. Despite its undeniable importance, bioethics education often remains under-prioritized, with traditional teaching methods dominating its delivery [2]. As medical education evolves to embrace innovative teaching and learning strategies, bioethics must also modernize to become more engaging, relevant, and impactful for students and educators alike.

For decades, bioethics education has relied heavily on lecture-based teaching and theoretical assessments like essays or written exams. While these approaches offer foundational knowledge, they fail to capture the reflective and interactive essence of ethical dilemmas [3]. Ethical decision-making in healthcare is rarely a direct phenomenon; it demands nuanced thinking and the ability to weigh competing values. Traditional lectures cannot prepare students for the ambiguity and complexity they will face in real-world practice [4]. To bridge this gap, modern bioethics education must shift its focus to active learning techniques that foster engagement, critical thinking, and practical application.

Case-Based Learning (CBL) is one of the most effective ways to bring ethical dilemmas to life. Students are presented with real or simulated cases and encouraged to analyze, discuss, and propose solutions collaboratively [5]. This approach mirrors the decision-making process in clinical practice, where ethical issues are rarely straightforward. For instance, a case involving a patient refusing life-saving treatment could lead students to explore the interplay between autonomy, informed consent, and the healthcare provider's duty of care. Such discussions not only enhance ethical reasoning but also foster empathy and an understanding of the human dimensions of healthcare.

Problem-Based Learning (PBL) offers a complementary approach by presenting students with open-ended problems that lack a single correct answer. Unlike CBL, where the scope of the issue is defined, PBL encourages students to frame the problem themselves, promoting deeper exploration and independent inquiry [6]. In bioethics, PBL scenarios might include debates over the ethical use of artificial intelligence in patient care or the equitable distribution of scarce medical resources during a pandemic. This method helps students move beyond theoretical principles to grapple with the broader societal and policy implications of ethical decisions.

Reflective Exercises are another powerful tool for bioethics education. Encouraging students to journal or write narratives about their values, biases, and decision-making processes cultivates self-awareness and ethical sensitivity [7]. For instance, students might reflect on a time when they witnessed or experienced an ethical conflict, analyzing their responses and identifying areas for growth. These exercises create a safe space for introspection, helping students internalize ethical principles rather than merely memorizing them. Integrating these reflections into portfolios allows students to track their ethical development over time, providing a comprehensive view of their progress and encouraging continuous learning [8].

Team-Based Exercises add another layer of engagement by emphasizing collaboration and diverse perspectives. Ethical dilemmas rarely have simple answers, and working in teams teaches students how to navigate disagreements and build consensus [9]. For example, a group tasked with designing an ethics policy for a fictional hospital might debate how to balance patient privacy with data-sharing needs. Team-based learning highlights the value of collective reasoning and demonstrates how different viewpoints can enrich ethical decision-making.

Flipped Classrooms are particularly well-suited to bioethics education. In this model, students review materials, such as readings or video lectures, before class, freeing up in-class time for interactive activities like discussions and role-playing [10]. This approach ensures that students come prepared with foundational knowledge, allowing educators to focus on engaging students in deeper exploration of complex ethical issues. For instance, a flipped classroom session could involve students watching a documentary on healthcare inequities, followed by an in-class debate on the ethical responsibilities of providers in underserved communities.

The Situated Learning Theory provides a compelling framework for modernizing bioethics education. This theory posits that learning is most effective when it occurs in authentic contexts. Applying this to bioethics means immersing students in real-world or simulated environments where ethical dilemmas naturally arise [11]. Clinical shadowing with guided ethical reflections, role-playing scenarios, and high-fidelity simulations are examples of situated learning in action. For instance, a simulation involving an end-of-life care dispute between a patient's family and the medical team can help students practice navigating emotionally charged situations while applying ethical principles.

Clinical shadowing provides students with the opportunity to observe bioethics in action within real-world healthcare settings. By witnessing healthcare professionals navigate ethical dilemmas such as balancing patient autonomy with medical advice, handling end-of-life decisions, or addressing conflicts over resource allocation students gain invaluable insights into the practical application of ethical principles [12]. Shadowing experiences often reveal the complexity and nuance of ethical decision-making that cannot be fully captured through theoretical discussions. When paired with structured debriefings, clinical shadowing allows students to reflect on what they observed, discuss alternative approaches, and critically analyze the alignment between ethical theory and practice [13]. This experiential learning fosters a deeper understanding of bioethics and its integral role in patient care.

Another strategy for effective teaching-learning is Gamification. Gamification introduces game-like elements into the learning process, transforming bioethics education into an engaging and interactive experience. By incorporating challenges, rewards, and role-playing scenarios, gamification fosters active participation and reinforces ethical reasoning skills [14]. For instance, a bioethics-themed escape room could challenge students to solve ethical puzzles based on principles such as justice or beneficence to advance through the scenario. Similarly, interactive role-playing games might assign students the roles of patients, healthcare providers, or administrators, requiring them to navigate ethical dilemmas from different perspectives. Gamification not only enhances motivation and collaboration but also provides a safe environment for students to experiment with decision-making, allowing them to internalize ethical principles in a dynamic and memorable way [15].

Digital Tools also offer exciting possibilities for bioethics education, making it more accessible and engaging. Virtual reality (VR) simulations can immerse students in ethically complex scenarios, such as triaging patients in a disaster or obtaining consent in high-pressure environments [16]. Online platforms, discussion forums, and e-learning modules allow for asynchronous learning,

enabling students to explore ethical issues at their own pace while interacting with peers from diverse backgrounds. Tools like Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) can also democratize bioethics education, reaching learners across the globe and fostering cross-cultural dialogue [17]. Modernizing assessment methods is equally critical. Traditional exams often fail to capture the complexity of ethical reasoning. Innovative approaches like Situational Judgment Tests (SJTs), which present students with realistic scenarios and ask them to choose or rank responses, can evaluate ethical decision-making more effectively [18]. Similarly, Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCEs) can include stations focused on ethical dilemmas, testing students' ability to communicate and apply ethical principles in simulated clinical settings. Portfolios that document students' reflections, case analyses, and role-playing experiences offer a more holistic way to assess their progress [19].

Despite the promise of these innovative methods, several challenges remain. A major hurdle is the lack of expertise among educators. Teaching bioethics requires not only a deep understanding of ethical principles but also proficiency in active learning techniques [20]. Many educators lack formal training in either area, limiting their ability to implement these approaches effectively. Institutions must invest in faculty development programs that equip educators with the necessary skills and confidence to embrace modern teaching practices.

Resource constraints are another significant barrier. Advanced technologies like VR simulations require substantial investment, which may be out of reach for institutions with limited budgets. Even less resource-intensive methods, such as case-based or team-based learning, demand time and effort to design and implement [21]. Collaborative initiatives, such as creating shared case libraries or open-access learning modules, could help mitigate these challenges and make innovative techniques more accessible to educators worldwide.

Cultural and institutional resistance to change further complicates the adoption of new methods. Many institutions remain wedded to traditional teaching models, viewing lectures and exams as tried-and-true approaches [22]. Demonstrating the effectiveness of active learning techniques through pilot programs and research can help build support among stakeholders. Partnerships between institutions, both nationally and internationally, can facilitate the sharing of best practices, creating momentum for widespread reform.

Modernizing bioethics education is about more than adopting new techniques; it is about transforming how students engage with ethical principles. Bioethics is not a theoretical discipline; it is a practical and dynamic field that influences every aspect of healthcare [23]. Teaching it effectively requires methods that challenge students intellectually, emotionally, and practically, preparing them to navigate the complexities of ethical practice with confidence and integrity.

As healthcare becomes more complex and technology advances, the ethical challenges facing healthcare professionals will only grow. Preparing students to meet these challenges demands a shift toward active, reflective, and collaborative learning [24]. By embracing innovation, bioethics education can become a vibrant and relevant part of medical training, equipping the next generation of professionals to make thoughtful, compassionate, and ethical decisions in an ever-evolving landscape. The future of healthcare depends not just on technical competence but on the ethical strength of those who provide care—and modernized bioethics education is the key to building that strength.

## REFERENCES

1. Ganguly B, D'Souza R, Nunes R. Challenges in the Teaching-Learning Process of the Newly Implemented Module on Bioethics in the Undergraduate Medical Curriculum in India. *Asian Bioethics Rev* 2022;15(2):155–68.
2. Singh S, Solanki M, Vaney N, Bhan A. Medical ethics teaching in the new undergraduate physiology competency-based curriculum in medical institutions in Delhi: A pilot, feasibility study. *Indian J Med Ethics* 2023;8(3):209–15.
3. Udgiri R, Ganganahalli P. Perceptions of Attitude, Ethics, and Communication (AETCOM) Modules Among Indian Medical Graduates in Their First Professional Year: An Educational Observational Study. *Cureus* 2024;16(7):e64611.
4. Shirazi B, Riaz Q, Jafarey AM, Ahmed R, Shamim MS. The current status and challenges of

- bioethics education in undergraduate medical education in Karachi, Pakistan. *BMC Med Educ* 2024;24(1):737.
5. Tolchin B, Willey JZ, Prager K. Education research: a case-based bioethics curriculum for neurology residents. *Neurology* 2015;84(13):e91-3.
  6. Hsin HC, Tai CJ, Wu HC. Design of PBL cases in bioethical education spanning across general and professional education. *J Med Educ* 2011;15(3):307-14.
  7. Lázaro M, López-Echagüe C, Gago F. Learning logs: Reflective writing and metacognition in bioethics courses. *Can J Bioethics* 2022;5(4):68-82.
  8. Ives J. A method of reflexive balancing in a pragmatic, interdisciplinary and reflexive bioethics. *Bioethics* 2014;28(6):302-12.
  9. Spencer SP, Lauden S, Wilson S, Philip A, Kasick R, Mahan JD, Fernandes AK. Meeting the challenge of teaching bioethics: a successful residency curricula utilizing Team-Based Learning. *Ann Med* 2022;54(1):359-68.
  10. Ziyai NY, Bozkurt R, Kilickiran H, Dogu O. The effect of flipped-jigsaw learning models on ethical decision-making. *Nurs Ethics* 2024;31(2-3):132-47.
  11. binti Pengiran PHSN, Besar H. Situated learning theory: the key to effective classroom teaching?. *HONAI* 2018;1(1).
  12. Loutrianakis G, Wellesley J, Farroni J. Clinical Practicums Make Perfect: The New Face of Bioethics Education. *J Hosp Ethics* 2023;9(2):49-50.
  13. DeRenzo EG. Building Clinical Ethics Expertise through Mentored Training at the Bedside. *Moral Expertise: New Essays from Theoretical and Clinical Bioethics* 2018;289-304.
  14. Kim TW, Werbach K. More than just a game: ethical issues in gamification. *Ethics Inform Technol* 2014;18(2):157-73.
  15. Zvereva G, Xi N, Hamari J, Pirkkalaine, H. Gamification in Ethics Education: A Literature Review. In *Australasian Conferences on Information Systems (ACIS)*; 2023.
  16. Schneider M, Vayena E, Blasimme A. Digital bioethics: introducing new methods for the study of bioethical issues. *J Med Ethics* 2023;49(11):783-90.
  17. Stone JR, Chapple HS, Haddad A, Lux S, Rentmeester CA. Discussion in graduate online bioethics programs. *Int J Ethics Educ* 2017;2:17-36.
  18. Schubert S, Ortwein H, Dumitsch A, Schwantes U, Wilhelm O, Kiessling C. A situational judgement test of professional behaviour: development and validation. *Med Teacher* 2008;30(5):528-33.
  19. McKneally MF, Singer PA. Bioethics for clinicians: 25. Teaching bioethics in the clinical setting. *CMAJ* 2003;164(8):1163-7.
  20. Solomon MZ, Vannier D, Chowning JT, Miller JS, Paget KF. The pedagogical challenges of teaching high school bioethics: Insights from the exploring bioethics curriculum. *Hastings Center Report* 2016;46(1):11-8.
  21. McCullough LB. Philosophical challenges in teaching bioethics: the importance of professional medical ethics and its history for bioethics. *J Med Philos* 2002;27(4):395-402.
  22. Hossain N. Teaching bioethics to postgraduate students in a public sector university: A report from Karachi, Pakistan. *Indian J Med Ethics* 2019;4(3):242-4.
  23. Loewy EH. Education, practice and bioethics: growing barriers to ethical practice. *Health Care Anal* 2003;11(2):171-9.
  24. Allana AA, Ali SK, Ghias K. Bioethics curriculum for undergraduate medical students: an evaluation study utilizing mixed methods approach. *BMC Med Educ* 2024;24(1):385.

\*\*\*\*\*

*Acknowledgements: Nil*

*Funding: None*

*Conflict of interest: Nil*