*Review Paper*

# De-Escalating Stigma: Need For Reconceptualising the Concept and Clinical Practice

Amresh Shrivastava[1] Avinash De Sousa[2]

[1]Director, Manas Shakti Foundation and Professor Emeritus, Western University, Ontario, Canada.
[2]Consultant Psychiatrist and Founder Trustee, Desousa Foundation, Mumbai
**Corresponding Author:** Avinash De Sousa
**E-mail:** avinashdes888@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

Stigma, particularly related to schizophrenia, is a complex issue with deep-rooted impacts on individuals, communities, and society. It manifests as a personal burden, leading to emotional distress and social isolation, and is reinforced by public attitudes that can either perpetuate or alleviate stigma. This stigma is shaped by cultural, social, and medical factors, differing significantly between Eastern and Western societies. Both regions recognize the urgent need for heightened awareness, improved mental health services, and effective anti-stigma campaigns. The Indian context, with its cultural diversity, illustrates both the challenges and opportunities in addressing schizophrenia-related stigma, highlighting the importance of culturally inclusive strategies. Globally, anti-stigma initiatives have made significant progress, particularly in public education, professional training, policy changes, community programs, media representation, research, and advocacy. However, persistent challenges remain, especially in low-resource settings and among marginalized populations. Addressing stigma requires a sustained and multifaceted effort across societal levels. Effective strategies include public education, contact-based interventions, professional training, policy reform, community engagement, media responsibility, psychosocial support, and the involvement of influential figures. Comprehensive and culturally sensitive interventions tailored to specific populations are essential for success.
Reconceptualizing stigma as a clinical risk with neurobiological consequences underscores the need for comprehensive strategies that integrate risk identification, enhanced education and training, improved access to care, legislative action, and advanced research. By viewing stigma through this lens, society can better understand and manage its impacts, ultimately leading to improved outcomes for individuals with schizophrenia. The future of anti-stigma efforts lies in learning from past successes and failures, ensuring sustained global collaboration, and fostering inclusive environments where those affected by stigma are supported and valued. This comprehensive approach offers hope for reducing stigma and promoting mental health equity worldwide.
**Keywords:** stigma, schizophrenia, research, action, de-escalating.

**Introduction**
Stigma is universal and perpetual. It is hard to find anyone suffering from schizophrenia and not experiencing impact of stigma. It remains largely poorly understood despite huge body of research. In last 50 years research we have seen shifting focus of stigma, it was first primarily defined as a problem society, culture, tradition resulting in prejudice, discrimination, isolation etc. studies then explored that it's not only society or families, not merely values or lack of wisdom but the

individuals themselves who perpetuate and propagate stigma, we now question and worry if stigma is an inherent part of the illness itself [1]. Waxing and waning curve of success and failure in anti-stigma intervention has posed thought-provoking question if stigma indeed is also a risk or symptom correlate, how deep it penetrates in an individual, is a matter of great concern, because stigma is noticed throughout the course and pathogenesis of schizophrenia, it exits in prodromal symptoms, frank illness, relapses, and even those who recover from the illness or well-rehabilitate din their employment and family life are never able to shed the shells of stigma. We are clearly in an ocean of known tertiary. there are compounding factors, there are non-mental health issue and issue not related to psychiatric disorders which also play their role. Some are overwhelmed and overshadowed by stigma, some hide behind it, those who suffer hide their illness and whose who recover also hide the illness [2]. One wonders if cognition and insight have anything to do with stigma, why do people internalise experiences of stigma. The field is wide open and if we ever succeed to deconstruct phenomenon of stigma, that we will, I am confident, one day, the story of people suffering from severe mental disorder will be quite different. Previous descript and text may not be even believed because so much will change pathophysiology of schizophrenia once stigma has been understood that no one will believe traditional description. Hope and optimism prevail large to inspire researchers to unfold newer themes, newer processes, and newer dynamics [3].

**Background**
The study of stigma associated with schizophrenia has a long and complex history, evolving alongside our understanding of the disorder itself. Early research, primarily in the mid-20th century, focused on documenting the negative attitudes and discriminatory behaviours faced by individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia. This work often highlighted the deep-seated fear and misunderstanding surrounding the condition, which was frequently portrayed as dangerous or unpredictable in both media and medical literature [4].

In the 1960s and 1970s, sociologist Erving Goffman's seminal work on stigma laid the foundation for understanding how societal attitudes toward mental illness, including schizophrenia, create barriers to social integration and recovery. The concept of "labelling theory" emerged during this time, suggesting that the diagnosis of schizophrenia itself could lead to self-fulfilling prophecies. According to this theory, individuals internalize societal stereotypes, which can lead to further social isolation and reinforce the stigmatized behaviours associated with the disorder. Goffman's work emphasized the importance of social context and the powerful role that labels play in shaping the experiences of those with mental illnesses.

The 1990s marked a significant shift in stigma research, as global mental health initiatives, such as the World Health Organization's (WHO) "Global Burden of Disease" study, emphasized the widespread impact of mental disorders, including schizophrenia. This period saw a growing focus on measuring stigma and its effects, leading to the development of tools like the Stigma Scale and the Discrimination and Stigma Scale (DISC). These instruments allowed researchers to quantify the stigma experienced by individuals with schizophrenia and provided a foundation for evaluating interventions aimed at reducing stigma [5].

In the 21st century, anti-stigma campaigns and initiatives have gained momentum, particularly in Western countries. Programs like the UK's "Time to Change" and Canada's "Opening Minds" aimed to reduce stigma through public awareness and education. These efforts have been complemented by increasing research into the structural and institutional forms of stigma that continue to affect individuals with schizophrenia, despite advances in treatment and awareness. For instance, studies have highlighted how policies and practices within healthcare systems can perpetuate stigma, even as public attitudes begin to shift [6].

In India, research on the stigma of schizophrenia has been relatively limited until recent decades, reflecting broader societal challenges in addressing mental health issues. Traditionally, mental illness in India has been shrouded in stigma, often viewed through a lens of superstition, spiritual explanations, or moral failing. Schizophrenia has been associated with extreme forms of social exclusion and discrimination. The earliest research on schizophrenia-related stigma in India began in the 1970s and 1980s, primarily within clinical settings. These studies often focused on documenting the negative attitudes of family members and the public toward individuals with

schizophrenia, noting the significant impact of stigma on treatment adherence and recovery outcomes. During this period, India's mental health infrastructure was underdeveloped, and public awareness of mental disorders was minimal [7].

In the 1990s, Indian researchers began to engage more with global discourses on mental health, leading to a growing interest in the socio-cultural dimensions of schizophrenia stigma. Studies highlighted how cultural beliefs and the lack of awareness perpetuated stigma, with many individuals and families resorting to traditional healers rather than seeking psychiatric help. This period also saw a rise in advocacy efforts aimed at challenging these misconceptions and promoting more evidence-based approaches to mental health. The Mental Health Act of 1987, followed by the more comprehensive Mental Healthcare Act of 2017, represented significant milestones in India's legislative approach to protecting the rights of individuals with mental illness, including schizophrenia. These laws have been crucial in addressing institutional stigma, although enforcement remains inconsistent across the country [8].

In recent years, there has been a marked increase in research on the stigma of schizophrenia in India, driven by both academic interest and advocacy efforts. Indian researchers have contributed to the global understanding of how socio-cultural factors influence the experience of stigma, with studies exploring the intersection of caste, gender, and mental illness. These studies have underscored the importance of culturally sensitive interventions that take into account the unique challenges faced by individuals with schizophrenia in India. Despite these advancements, stigma remains a pervasive issue in India, requiring ongoing efforts to challenge misconceptions and promote the social inclusion of individuals with schizophrenia. The growing body of research in this area is critical for informing policies and interventions that address the unique challenges faced by individuals with schizophrenia in the Indian context [9].

**Current Perspective on Stigma in Schizophrenia**

Stigma surrounding schizophrenia continues to be a pervasive issue globally, significantly impacting the lives of millions of individuals diagnosed with the disorder. Research consistently highlights that a substantial portion of the general population harbours negative attitudes toward people with schizophrenia. For instance, studies in Western countries have found that nearly 70% of the public associate's schizophrenia with dangerousness and unpredictability, reinforcing societal fear and exclusion. In India, stigma is equally widespread, with cultural and religious beliefs often exacerbating the discrimination faced by those with mental illness [10]. The National Mental Health Survey of India (2015-16) revealed that over 80% of individuals with severe mental disorders, including schizophrenia, experience stigma that significantly impairs their ability to seek help and participate in society [11].

**Impact of Stigma on Patients**

While it is crucial to recognize the prevalence of stigma, it is even more important to understand how stigma profoundly affects every aspect of life for individuals with schizophrenia. Stigma infiltrates every domain of a patient's life, from social interactions to employment opportunities. Individuals with schizophrenia often face social isolation, discrimination in the workplace, and strained relationships with family and friends. The fear of being labelled as "mad" or "dangerous" can prevent them from disclosing their diagnosis, leading to a lack of social support and increased vulnerability to relapse. Stigma can significantly alter the course of schizophrenia by discouraging individuals from seeking timely treatment. Delays in diagnosis and intervention are common, as individuals and their families may avoid psychiatric services due to fear of societal judgment [12]. This delay can lead to the worsening of symptoms, reduced chances of recovery, and a more chronic course of illness. Stigma not only affects individuals but also influences the availability and quality of care. In many parts of the world, including India, mental health services are underfunded and underutilized, partly due to the stigma associated with seeking psychiatric help [13]. Even when care is available, individuals may face discrimination within healthcare settings, leading to inadequate treatment and support. The stigma associated with schizophrenia often results in the violation of patients' dignity and human rights. In many cases, individuals with schizophrenia are subjected to involuntary hospitalization, forced treatment, and inhumane living

conditions, particularly in institutional settings. Stigma also perpetuates the denial of fundamental rights, such as the right to make decisions about one's own care, leading to a loss of autonomy and personal freedom. The impact of stigma extends to treatment outcomes and rehabilitation efforts. Negative attitudes toward mental illness can result in poor adherence to treatment, as individuals may avoid taking medication or attending therapy due to fear of being stigmatized. Furthermore, stigma can hinder rehabilitation efforts, as societal barriers prevent individuals from reintegrating into the community and achieving a fulfilling life [14]. For example, stigma in the workplace can limit opportunities for employment, making it difficult for individuals with schizophrenia to regain independence.

It is challenging to find anyone with schizophrenia who has not been affected by stigma in some way. The pervasive nature of stigma means that it touches every individual diagnosed with the disorder, regardless of their cultural or socioeconomic background. From internalized stigma that affects self-esteem and identity to external barriers imposed by society, stigma remains a formidable obstacle to the recovery and well-being of people with schizophrenia. Addressing stigma is critical to improving the lives of those affected and requires concerted efforts across societal, cultural, and institutional levels [15].

**Causes and Consequences of Stigma**

Stigma is a powerful social phenomenon that arises from negative perceptions and stereotypes about certain individuals or groups, often based on characteristics such as mental illness, physical disability, race, sexual orientation, or socioeconomic status. This stigma can have profound and wide-ranging impacts on both the stigmatized individuals and society as a whole.The experience of stigma is deeply personal and can vary widely depending on the individual and context. People who experience stigma often internalize the negative stereotypes and prejudices directed at them, leading to feelings of shame, guilt, and worthlessness [16]. This internalized stigma can erode self-esteem, diminish self-worth, and exacerbate existing conditions, such as depression or anxiety. For example, someone with a mental health disorder may avoid seeking help due to fear of being labelled as "weak" or "crazy." The resulting isolation and loneliness can further compound these negative experiences, creating a vicious cycle that is difficult to break.

Public emotion plays a critical role in both perpetuating and mitigating stigma. Negative emotions such as fear, anger, or disgust can fuel stigmatizing attitudes and behaviours. For instance, the fear of contagion during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic led to widespread stigmatization of people who had contracted the virus or were perceived to be at higher risk. Conversely, positive public emotions, such as empathy and compassion, can reduce stigma by fostering understanding and support for those affected. Public campaigns that encourage empathy and awareness can be effective in changing negative perceptions and reducing the societal impact of stigma. The clinical consequences of stigma are significant and can severely impact health outcomes. Stigma can deter individuals from seeking medical treatment or adhering to prescribed regimens, particularly in cases involving mental health or chronic conditions like HIV/AIDS. When individuals delay seeking help, their conditions can worsen, leading to more severe health issues and even preventable deaths. Moreover, healthcare providers may harbour stigmatizing attitudes, consciously or unconsciously, affecting the quality of care delivered to stigmatized individuals. This can result in misdiagnoses, inadequate treatment, and a lack of follow-up care, further exacerbating health disparities [17].

Research into the association between schizophrenia and violence highlights how stigma may not always be justified. Studies show that while there is some correlation between schizophrenia and aggression, external factors such as drug abuse, income, immigration, and marital status significantly contribute to violent behaviour in patients with schizophrenia. This finding challenges the notion that schizophrenia alone is the cause of violence, yet societal fear persists, leading to victimization and stigma against schizophrenic individuals [18]. A study found that 64.5% of 5,871 individuals with schizophrenia experienced stigma. The psychotropic's side effects of stigma extend beyond direct emotional or clinical impacts on stigmatized individuals to broader societal consequences. For example, increased healthcare costs arise due to the need for more intensive treatments for conditions worsened by delayed care. Additionally, stigma can lead to

social exclusion and economic disadvantage, as individuals may lose employment opportunities or face discrimination in the workplace [19]. These social and economic side effects contribute to a cycle of poverty and marginalization, making it even more difficult for affected individuals to overcome the negative impacts of stigma. A recent study highlighted that patients experiencing more medication adverse effects and worse subjective well-being were more likely to suffer from internalized stigma. Twenty-one patients (22.3%) showed high internalized stigma, while 73 (77.7%) did not, according to a logistic regression analysis [19].

Media reports play a crucial role in shaping public perceptions and can either perpetuate or challenge stigma. Sensationalist or biased media coverage can reinforce negative stereotypes and spread misinformation, leading to increased stigma [20]. For instance, media portrayals of mental illness as inherently dangerous or violent can fuel fear and prejudice, making it harder for individuals with mental health issues to be accepted by society. On the other hand, responsible and accurate media reporting can help destigmatize certain conditions by providing balanced information, sharing personal stories, and highlighting the successes and contributions of stigmatized individuals [21].

A lack of services, particularly in underserved communities, exacerbates the consequences of stigma. When individuals facing stigma are unable to access the services they need—whether due to geographical, financial, or systemic barriers—their conditions can worsen. This lack of services is often a result of societal stigma, as marginalized groups may be deprioritized in public health planning and resource allocation. The absence of mental health services, addiction treatment, or other critical support systems leaves stigmatized individuals with few options, further entrenching the cycle of stigma and poor health outcomes [22]. A recent study reported poor awareness and knowledge about mental illnesses, belief in faith and traditional healers, scarcity of resources (medicines, trained professionals, and mental health facilities), and high costs for seeking mental health care. There is a critical gap between mental health-related provisions in policy documents and their implementation at the primary and district levels [23].

**Differential Response of Various Communities to Stigma, Definitions and Concepts of Stigma**
Stigma related to schizophrenia can be broken down into several interconnected concepts:

- **Self-Stigma**: This occurs when individuals with schizophrenia accept and internalize the negative stereotypes associated with their condition. This internalization can lead to diminished self-esteem, self-worth, and a reduced sense of personal efficacy [24].
- **Internalized Stigma:** Like self-stigma, internalized stigma involves the absorption of societal prejudices into one's own identity, resulting in feelings of shame, guilt, and hopelessness. This can hinder recovery and exacerbate symptoms [25].
- **Perceived Stigma:** This refers to the belief or expectation that one will be stigmatized by others due to their condition. It can be present even if the person does not experience direct discrimination but anticipates negative reactions from others [26].

**Global Scenario: The Pervasiveness of Stigma**
Globally, the stigma associated with schizophrenia remains a significant barrier to treatment, social inclusion, and overall well-being. In many parts of the world, individuals with schizophrenia face discrimination not only in their social interactions but also in key areas such as employment, education, and healthcare. The World Health Organization (WHO) has identified stigma as a major challenge in global mental health and has launched initiatives aimed at reducing stigma through public education, community-based interventions, and the promotion of human rights.

In high-income countries, stigma has been somewhat mitigated by greater awareness, improved access to mental health services, and concerted anti-stigma campaigns. However, even in these settings, people with schizophrenia often experience social exclusion and face misconceptions, such as being perceived as dangerous or incapable of living productive lives. Despite advances in mental health advocacy, stigma continues to hinder many from seeking the help they need [27].

**Eastern vs. Western Perspectives on Schizophrenia Stigma**

In Western countries, particularly in Europe and North America, significant efforts have been made to reduce the stigma associated with schizophrenia through public education, advocacy, and policy changes. Anti-stigma campaigns like the "Time to Change" initiative in the UK and "See Me" in Scotland have worked to challenge stereotypes and encourage open conversations about mental health. These campaigns often receive government support, with policies promoting mental health awareness, integrating mental health services into primary care, and safeguarding the rights of individuals with mental health conditions [28].

The understanding of schizophrenia in the West is predominantly shaped by the biomedical model, which emphasizes the role of genetics and neurobiology in the condition. While this approach has contributed to reducing stigma by framing schizophrenia as a medical issue rather than a moral failing, it can also reinforce negative stereotypes, such as the belief that individuals with schizophrenia are inherently violent or incapable of making their own decisions [29].

Research in Western contexts has shown that self-stigma, internalized stigma, and perceived stigma are associated with poor mental health outcomes, including depression, reduced self-efficacy, and lower rates of recovery. For example, studies in the United States have found that self-stigma correlates with reduced medication adherence and increased psychological distress among people with schizophrenia [30].

To combat these issues, interventions such as cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) and peer support programs have been developed to help individuals reframe negative self-perceptions and build resilience against stigma. Public awareness campaigns also play a role in reducing perceived stigma by promoting understanding and acceptance of mental health conditions. However, despite these efforts, stigma remains a formidable barrier to recovery and social integration [31].

In contrast, Eastern countries, including many parts of Asia, often approach mental health and schizophrenia with a combination of traditional beliefs and modern medical practices. The stigma in these regions is often deeply rooted in cultural and religious beliefs. In many Asian cultures, mental illness, including schizophrenia, is sometimes viewed through a spiritual or moral lens. For instance, in parts of India and China, schizophrenia may be perceived as the result of past sins, spiritual possession, or a curse, leading to social ostracism and, in some cases, even abuse [32].

The stigma in Eastern contexts can significantly influence self-stigma, internalized stigma, and perceived stigma. Cultural norms that associate mental illness with shame, dishonour, and spiritual impurity can intensify self-stigma, causing individuals to conceal their condition and avoid seeking help.

Efforts to address self-stigma and internalized stigma in Eastern countries often involve community-based interventions and family support systems. Traditional healing practices and religious counselling are also commonly utilized, although these methods can sometimes reinforce stigmatizing beliefs. However, in recent years, there has been a growing recognition of the need for culturally sensitive mental health interventions that address both the psychological and cultural dimensions of stigma [33].

While there are challenges associated with the Eastern approach to schizophrenia, there are also some positive aspects. In certain communities, particularly in rural areas, individuals with schizophrenia may be cared for within the family rather than being institutionalized, as is more common in the West. This family-centred approach can provide a support network that is less common in Western societies. However, it also places a heavy burden on families and can perpetuate stigma by keeping the condition hidden from the wider community [34].

**Schizophrenia Stigma in India**

India presents a unique blend of Eastern and Western perspectives on schizophrenia and mental health stigma. Stigma against schizophrenia is widespread in India, often exacerbated by a lack of awareness, inadequate mental health infrastructure, and deeply entrenched cultural beliefs. Mental illness in India is frequently associated with supernatural causes, and people with schizophrenia are often subject to social exclusion, discrimination, and even violence [35].

The National Mental Health Programme (NMHP) in India aims to reduce stigma and improve mental health services, but implementation has been inconsistent across the country. Public

awareness campaigns are limited, and mental health literacy remains low, particularly in rural areas. Despite these challenges, there are signs of progress. Urban areas are seeing increased access to mental health services, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) such as The Banyan and Sangath are working to reduce stigma and provide community-based mental health care [36].

Cultural practices in India present both challenges and opportunities for addressing stigma. On one hand, the collectivist nature of Indian society means that individuals with schizophrenia may receive support from their extended family networks. On the other hand, the same collectivist values can lead to social pressure to conform, resulting in families hiding the illness to avoid shame and dishonor. Additionally, gender plays a significant role, with women often facing double discrimination due to both their mental health condition and societal gender biases [37].

Research in India has shown that self-stigma and internalized stigma are prevalent among individuals with schizophrenia and are associated with negative outcomes such as lower self-esteem, increased depression, and poor treatment adherence. Perceived stigma is also widespread, with individuals often anticipating negative reactions from others, leading to social isolation and a reduced willingness to engage in social activities [38].

## Comparative Analysis: Eastern and Western Responses to Stigma

One of the key differences between Eastern and Western responses to schizophrenia stigma is the role of community and family. In Western countries, the focus tends to be on individual autonomy, with an emphasis on professional mental health services and patient rights. In contrast, Eastern cultures often emphasize familial responsibility and community care, which can be both supportive and stigmatizing, depending on the context [39].

Moreover, the perception of schizophrenia as a medical condition is more prevalent in the West, where the biomedical model dominates. In Eastern countries, traditional and spiritual interpretations still hold significant influence, affecting both treatment options and the level of stigma experienced. The Western approach, while more medicalized, often involves more structured anti-stigma campaigns and public policy initiatives aimed at protecting the rights of individuals with mental illness [40].

In Western contexts, self-stigma and internalized stigma are increasingly recognized as barriers to recovery, leading to the development of evidence-based interventions such as CBT and peer support. These interventions have been shown to be effective in reducing stigma and improving mental health outcomes. However, their application in Eastern contexts may require adaptation to account for different cultural norms and values.

In Eastern contexts, particularly in India, stigma is often intertwined with religious and cultural beliefs, making it more challenging to address through conventional psychological interventions. Instead, community-based approaches that involve families and local leaders may be more effective. These approaches need to be culturally sensitive and acknowledge the role of traditional beliefs in shaping attitudes toward mental illness [41].

## Addressing Stigma in a Global Context

Addressing the stigma associated with schizophrenia requires a nuanced understanding of the cultural, social, and economic factors that influence perceptions and behaviours. While there has been progress in reducing stigma in both Eastern and Western contexts, much work remains to be done. In Western countries, continued efforts are needed to challenge remaining stereotypes and ensure that individuals with schizophrenia receive the support and treatment they need without facing discrimination. Public education campaigns, policy changes, and interventions focused on reducing self-stigma and internalized stigma are crucial components of this effort [42].

In Eastern countries, particularly in regions like India, addressing stigma will require a multifaceted approach that includes both modern medical practices and respect for cultural traditions. Community-based interventions, family support, and public awareness campaigns must be tailored to the specific cultural contexts in which they are implemented [43].

Ultimately, the goal should be to create a world where individuals with schizophrenia can live with dignity, receive appropriate care, and participate fully in society without the burden of stigma.

Achieving this will require sustained commitment from governments, healthcare providers, communities, and individuals across the globe.

Anti-stigma interventions have evolved significantly over the years, focusing on reducing the prejudice and discrimination faced by individuals with mental health conditions, particularly schizophrenia. These interventions span across various domains, including public education, media representation, community programs, legislative reforms, and research-driven strategies. However not every measure has helped the cause. It is important to try and understand their effectiveness [44].

**Interventions That Have Not Worked in Anti-Stigma Efforts**

While many anti-stigma interventions have shown promise, there are also approaches that have proven less effective or even counterproductive. Understanding these failures is crucial for refining future strategies.

1. **Educational Campaigns Without Personal Connection:** Purely informational campaigns that focus solely on delivering facts about mental health or other stigmatized conditions without including a personal or emotional connection often fail to change attitudes. Studies have shown that while these campaigns can increase knowledge, they do not necessarily reduce stigma. Knowledge alone does not always translate into changed behaviour or attitudes, especially when deeply rooted stereotypes and prejudices are involved [45].

2. **One-Time Interventions:** One-off events or short-term interventions, such as a single workshop or awareness day, often have minimal long-term impact. Stigma is a deeply ingrained social issue that requires sustained and repeated efforts to address. Research has shown that while these one-time interventions might temporarily raise awareness, their effects typically fade quickly, leading too little to no lasting change in attitudes or behaviours [46].

3. **Fear-Based Campaigns:** Campaigns that attempt to scare people into changing their behaviour, such as those that highlight the most extreme consequences of untreated mental illness or drug use, can backfire. These fear-based messages can reinforce negative stereotypes and increase stigma rather than reduce it [47].

4. **Negative Media Portrayals:** Media portrayals of mental illness or other stigmatized conditions often perpetuate harmful stereotypes. For example, characters with mental illness are frequently depicted as violent, unpredictable, or incapable of leading normal lives. These portrayals can undermine the efforts of anti-stigma campaigns by reinforcing the very stereotypes that such campaigns seek to dismantle [48].

5. **Tokenistic or Superficial Efforts:** Superficial efforts, such as companies or organizations adopting anti-stigma slogans or symbols without meaningful action, can be counterproductive. These tokenistic gestures may give the appearance of progress but do not lead to real change [49].

6. **Forced Contact or Exposure Interventions:** While contact-based interventions can be effective in reducing stigma, they need to be carefully managed. When individuals are forced into interactions with stigmatized groups without proper context or preparation, it can lead to discomfort, resentment, or increased stigma [50].

7. **Top-Down, Non-Participatory Approaches:** Interventions that are designed and implemented without the input of the communities they are intended to help often fail. A top-down approach can ignore the unique cultural, social, and economic contexts that shape how stigma is experienced and addressed.

**Successful Anti-Stigma Interventions**

Successful anti-stigma interventions are those that are well-researched, thoughtfully designed, and culturally sensitive. These interventions have shown measurable success in reducing stigma and improving attitudes toward stigmatized individuals and conditions.

1. **Contact-Based Interventions:** Direct, positive contact with individuals who have lived experience with stigmatized conditions helps to humanize the issue, breaking down

stereotypes and misconceptions. Programs that facilitate interactions between the public and individuals with mental health conditions have been shown to significantly reduce stigma [51].

2. **Educational Campaigns with Emotional Engagement:** Campaigns that combine education with emotional storytelling have been particularly successful. For example, the "Time to Change" campaign in the UK, which included personal stories of individuals with mental health conditions alongside factual information, led to a significant reduction in public stigma [52].

3. **Media and Communication Strategies:** Encouraging accurate and positive portrayals of individuals with stigmatized conditions in the media has been a key strategy. Social media campaigns have also been effective in creating global conversations around mental health.

4. **Legislative and Policy Reforms:** Laws that protect individuals from discrimination based on mental health, disability, or other stigmatized conditions have been crucial in reducing institutional stigma. Implementing mental health policies in the workplace.

**Propose A Need for Paradigm Shift in Understanding Of Stigma And Re-conceptualizing the Problem of Stigma [53]**

In the present context of stigma, a correct approach involves shifting our perspective to view stigma not merely as a social issue, but as a clinical risk with profound neurobiological implications. Recent findings suggest need for fundamental changes in our thinking, the way we understand the problem and the way we would like re-design the clinical practice. These are:

- Stigma involved individuals suffering from schizophrenia, it is not merely a social expression with prejudice and other consequences
- Stigma is a psychopathological construct; it is also a construct of illness and remains an independent paradigm
- Stigma is a clinical issue; it must be addressed clinically and all of us need to change the way we practice and design program for management of people suffering from schizophrenia.

**Here we discuss these fundamental assumptions and then propose interventions that may de-escalate stigma**

**Stigma Affects Individuals**

To better understand the full impact of stigma on individuals suffering from schizophrenia, it is essential to reconceptualize it beyond social prejudice, recognizing it as a significant clinical risk factor with profound neurobiological, psychological, and societal consequences. Stigma in schizophrenia extends beyond external social discrimination to internalized stigma, where individuals with the condition begin to accept and incorporate societal stereotypes into their self-concept. This internalized stigma exacerbates the psychopathology of schizophrenia by increasing feelings of shame, guilt, and hopelessness, leading to higher rates of depression, anxiety, and even suicidal behaviour. The stress resulting from both external and internalized stigma activates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, leading to chronic stress responses that worsen the course of the illness. Thus, stigma is not just a social issue but a pathological process that amplifies the clinical severity of schizophrenia [54].

The neurobiological impact of stigma in schizophrenia is becoming increasingly evident. Chronic stress induced by stigma can lead to alterations in brain structure and function, particularly in regions involved in emotion regulation and cognitive processing, such as the prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, and amygdala. Additionally, stigma-related stress has been linked to epigenetic changes, which may affect gene expression and contribute to the long-term progression of schizophrenia. These neurobiological consequences suggest that stigma can exacerbate the underlying biological vulnerabilities in individuals with schizophrenia, further complicating their clinical management and recovery [55].

One of the most critical ways stigma impacts individuals with schizophrenia is by acting as a barrier to treatment and recovery. Stigmatized individuals are often reluctant to seek help or adhere to treatment due to fear of judgment and discrimination. This avoidance behavior can lead to

delayed diagnosis, reduced treatment adherence, and poorer clinical outcomes. Moreover, stigma within the healthcare system itself, where healthcare professionals may hold biased views about schizophrenia, can result in suboptimal care, further hindering recovery. Recognizing stigma as a clinical barrier is essential for developing interventions that encourage early treatment engagement and sustained recovery [56].

Beyond the individual level, stigma has broader societal implications that intersect with the social determinants of health. Stigmatized individuals with schizophrenia often face discrimination in employment, housing, education, and social relationships, leading to social isolation, poverty, and reduced quality of life. These social determinants further exacerbate the symptoms of schizophrenia, creating a vicious cycle that is difficult to break. Understanding stigma as a factor that interacts with social determinants of health underscores the need for comprehensive interventions that address both the clinical and social challenges faced by individuals with schizophrenia [56].

Given the complex interplay between stigma, psychopathology, and neurobiology, traditional anti-stigma interventions that focus solely on changing public attitudes may not be sufficient. There is a need to integrate stigma reduction strategies into clinical practice, where interventions can be tailored to address both the psychological and biological effects of stigma on individuals with schizophrenia. This might include cognitive-behavioural therapy to address internalized stigma, stress reduction techniques to mitigate neurobiological consequences, and training for healthcare professionals to reduce stigma within the healthcare system [57].

Future research should prioritize the exploration of stigma's role as a clinical risk factor in schizophrenia, examining its impact on neurobiological pathways, treatment outcomes, and long-term prognosis. Policymakers should also recognize the need for integrated approaches that address stigma not only at the societal level but within healthcare systems and clinical settings. By redefining stigma as a critical factor in the management of schizophrenia, research and policy can better target interventions that improve both the mental and physical well-being of those affected.

**Stigma as a Psychopathological Construct**
Stigma in the context of schizophrenia is not merely a social phenomenon but also an independent psychopathological construct that significantly impacts the course and treatment of the illness. This conceptualization is supported by a growing body of research that underscores stigma's deep entanglement with the clinical, psychological, and neurobiological aspects of schizophrenia [58].

**Internalized Stigma and Its Psychological Impact**
Internalized stigma, where individuals with schizophrenia accept and internalize societal stereotypes about their condition, is associated with increased levels of depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation. Research by Livingston and Boyd demonstrated that internalized stigma is a significant predictor of poorer mental health outcomes and lower self-esteem in individuals with schizophrenia. This suggests that stigma is not just a reaction to external prejudice but a core psychological issue that affects the individual's mental state and illness trajectory. Features like Shame, Guilt, and Hopelessness are characteristics of stigma. Vass et al. (2017) found that the internalization of stigma leads to feelings of shame, guilt, and hopelessness among people with schizophrenia, exacerbating their psychopathological symptoms. These negative self-perceptions contribute to the severity of the illness by reinforcing a negative self-concept and hindering recovery efforts [59].

**Stigma as a Clinical Risk**
Traditionally, stigma has been understood as a social barrier, but its implications go much deeper. It acts as a significant clinical risk factor, particularly in mental health. Stigmatized individuals often delay or avoid seeking treatment due to fear of judgment or discrimination, leading to worsening conditions and, in some cases, irreversible harm. This hesitation in accessing care exacerbates mental health issues and can lead to the development of comorbid conditions, such as cardiovascular diseases. Furthermore, the internalization of stigma can lead to poor self-care, increased stress, and even early mortality.

Re-conceptualizing stigma as a clinical risk allows us to see the broader health implications and underscores the urgency of addressing it. By viewing stigma through this lens, we can prioritize early interventions and develop strategies that not only target the social aspects of stigma but also address its clinical consequences [60].

## Stigma as a Barrier to Recovery and Treatment Adherence

Stigma not only remains a barrier to recovery but in fact it determines the overall outcome, level of compliance, functioning as well as quality of life. Stigma directly impacts the clinical outcomes of individuals with schizophrenia by creating barriers to seeking treatment and adhering to prescribed interventions. Research consistently shows that stigma leads to treatment avoidance, delayed help-seeking, and poor medication adherence. Individuals with schizophrenia often internalize societal stereotypes, leading to self-stigmatization, which can manifest as feelings of shame, guilt, and hopelessness. This internalized stigma can deter patients from engaging fully in their treatment plans, resulting in suboptimal outcomes [61].

## Stigma as a Distinct Paradigm

Studies have shown that the impact of stigma is independent of the severity of schizophrenia symptoms. Even when controlling for symptom severity, stigma continued to predict negative outcomes, such as lower quality of life and decreased social functioning. This indicates that stigma operates as an independent construct, influencing the lives of individuals with schizophrenia regardless of their clinical presentation. Dual Burden of Illness and Stigma is obvious. Goffman initially introduced the concept of the "double burden" faced by individuals with mental illness, where they not only have to cope with the symptoms of their condition but also the societal stigma associated with it. This dual burden reinforces the notion that stigma is not merely a consequence of the illness but an independent paradigm that requires targeted intervention [62].

## Measures To De-Escalate and End Stigma: A Comprehensive Approach

The stigma surrounding schizophrenia remains a significant barrier to effective care and social inclusion. Despite advances in understanding and treatment, individuals with schizophrenia continue to face discrimination and misunderstanding. To address this issue, a multifaceted approach is required—one that involves better understanding of stigma, deeper insights into schizophrenia, and the implementation of personalized care and supportive legislation. Below is a commentary on the future goals aimed at ending the stigma of schizophrenia.

**Better Understanding of Stigma: Psychopathological Pathways:** Stigma is a complex phenomenon that deeply affects individuals with schizophrenia. To combat stigma effectively, it is essential to explore its psychopathological pathways. Stigma is not merely a social issue; it has tangible effects on mental health. Chronic exposure to stigma can lead to feelings of shame, low self-esteem, and even exacerbate psychotic symptoms. By examining how stigma influences mental health, researchers can better understand the reciprocal relationship between psychopathology and stigma [63].

In future research, we must focus on identifying the neural and psychological mechanisms through which stigma affects individuals with schizophrenia. This could involve studying the impact of stigma on brain regions associated with social cognition, such as the prefrontal cortex and amygdala, or exploring how stigma-induced stress affects hormonal levels and exacerbates symptoms. Understanding these pathways will help inform more effective interventions, as targeting the psychopathological effects of stigma could mitigate its impact on individuals [64].

**Better Understanding of Schizophrenia:** To reduce stigma, we need a more nuanced understanding of schizophrenia itself. The public often holds misconceptions about the disorder, viewing it as synonymous with violence or unpredictability. Educating the public and healthcare professionals about the realities of schizophrenia—its symptoms, causes, and treatment options— is crucial to combating these stereotypes [65].

Research into the etiology of schizophrenia is continuously evolving. While genetic factors play a significant role, environmental influences, such as early life stressors, prenatal complications, and substance use, also contribute. Future research should focus on unraveling these complex

interactions and communicating findings in a way that is accessible to the public. By demystifying schizophrenia and providing accurate information, we can challenge the myths that fuel stigma [66].

**Focus on Risk for Schizophrenia and Stigma as Outcome Parameters:** Identifying individuals at risk for both schizophrenia and stigma-related harm is a critical step in early intervention. The presence of stigma can delay diagnosis and treatment, leading to worse outcomes for individuals with schizophrenia. Future goals should include the development of tools to assess stigma-related risks and their impact on clinical outcomes.

Outcome parameters should include both clinical and social measures. Clinically, we can evaluate symptom severity, treatment adherence, and relapse rates. Socially, we should assess quality of life, social integration, and employment status. These outcome parameters will help to determine the effectiveness of interventions aimed at reducing stigma and improving care for individuals with schizophrenia [67].

Additionally, focusing on high-risk populations, such as those with a family history of schizophrenia or individuals from marginalized communities, will allow for targeted interventions that can prevent the onset of both the disorder and the associated stigma. Screening tools that assess an individual's risk for schizophrenia, as well as their susceptibility to stigma, will be crucial in this effort [68].

**Focus on Clinical Practice of Excellence: Psychopathology and Degree of Stigma**

In clinical practice, it is essential to recognize that no one is entirely stigma-free, including healthcare providers. Healthcare professionals, despite their training, may harbor implicit biases that can influence their interactions with patients. Therefore, clinical excellence in schizophrenia care must involve continuous reflection and education on stigma.

Healthcare providers should be trained to recognize their own biases and understand how these biases can affect patient outcomes. Incorporating stigma reduction strategies into clinical practice, such as using person-centered language and fostering a nonjudgmental therapeutic environment, will improve the quality of care. Additionally, integrating stigma assessments into routine clinical evaluations can help identify patients who may be particularly vulnerable to its effects [69].

Clinical programs should also prioritize interdisciplinary collaboration. Psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, and other mental health professionals should work together to address both the psychopathology of schizophrenia and the stigma that accompanies it. By adopting a holistic approach, clinicians can provide comprehensive care that addresses the full range of challenges faced by individuals with schizophrenia [70].

**The Need for a Positive Psychiatry Approach: Personalized Care**

Positive psychiatry emphasizes the strengths and resilience of individuals rather than focusing solely on pathology. In the context of schizophrenia, this approach involves recognizing that everyone's characteristics require specific and targeted personalized care. Personalized care considers the unique genetic, psychological, and social factors that influence an individual's experience of schizophrenia and stigma [71].

In future clinical programs, the positive psychiatry approach should be integrated into treatment plans. This may involve leveraging an individual's strengths, such as social support networks or coping skills, to enhance their recovery. Additionally, personalized care plans should consider the individual's goals and preferences, allowing them to take an active role in their treatment.

Tailoring interventions to the individual also means addressing the specific ways in which stigma affects them. For example, some individuals may experience stigma primarily in social situations, while others may internalize stigma and struggle with self-stigmatization. Personalized interventions that address these specific challenges will be more effective in reducing the overall impact of stigma [72].

**Mental Health Legislation and Human Rights Parameters**

Addressing stigma at a systemic level requires robust legal protections and revolutionary changes in governance. Strengthening and enforcing laws that protect individuals with mental health

conditions from discrimination in healthcare, employment, and education is essential. Legal protections help reduce stigma by affirming the rights of those with mental health conditions.

Moreover, integrating mental health into all areas of public policy is crucial. This means promoting mental health in schools, workplaces, and communities and ensuring adequate funding for mental health research and services. Only through comprehensive governance changes can we create a society that truly supports mental health and reduces stigma [73].

Finally, ending the stigma of schizophrenia requires systemic change through mental health legislation and the protection of human rights. Legal frameworks must be strengthened to protect individuals with schizophrenia from discrimination in healthcare, employment, and housing. Mental health legislation should also ensure access to quality care for all individuals, regardless of their socioeconomic status [74].

Future goals should include advocating for laws that promote mental health parity, ensuring that mental health services are covered at the same level as physical health services. Additionally, legislation should focus on protecting the rights of individuals with schizophrenia, preventing involuntary treatment or confinement without due process, and promoting their social inclusion. Human rights parameters must be embedded in mental health policies at both national and international levels. This includes ensuring that individuals with schizophrenia are treated with dignity and respect, and that their autonomy is preserved in clinical and social settings. Advocacy efforts should also focus on raising awareness of the human rights of individuals with schizophrenia, both within healthcare systems and in society at large [75].

**Advancing Research and Measurement Tools**

To fully understand and combat stigma, we need more sophisticated research tools. This includes longitudinal studies that track the long-term effects of stigma, neuroimaging studies that explore its neurobiological correlates, and qualitative research that captures the lived experiences of those affected by stigma. Collaborative, interdisciplinary research efforts will be key to developing more effective interventions and policies. By bringing together experts from neuroscience, psychology, sociology, and public health, we can gain a more comprehensive understanding of stigma and devise innovative solutions to address it [76].

Re-conceptualizing stigma as a clinical risk with neurobiological consequences offers a powerful new perspective. By understanding stigma in this broader context, we not only foster hope but also equip ourselves with the tools needed to tackle it effectively. This approach encourages creative thinking, interdisciplinary collaboration, and systemic change, paving the way for progress and positive outcomes in mental health care. With new understanding comes new hope—a hope rooted in the belief that change is possible, and that by rethinking our approach, we can create a more compassionate and supportive society [77].

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the path to ending the stigma of schizophrenia demands a comprehensive and collaborative effort that addresses both individual and systemic challenges. By advancing our understanding of stigma's psychopathological pathways, deepening our knowledge of schizophrenia, and focusing on personalized care, we can create more compassionate and effective interventions. Integrating these efforts with robust mental health legislation and human rights protections will further dismantle the barriers that prevent individuals with schizophrenia from accessing the care and respect they deserve. The future of schizophrenia care hinges on our collective commitment to fostering an environment where stigma no longer hinders recovery and where every individual is treated with dignity and understanding.

## REFERENCES

1. Rüsch N, Angermeyer MC, Corrigan PW. Mental illness stigma: Concepts, consequences, and initiatives to reduce stigma. Eur Psychiatry 2005;20(8):529-39.

2.  Ning Audrey TX, Klainin-Yobas P, Creedy DK. A comprehensive systematic review on the perception and experience of stigma among people with schizophrenia. JBI Libr Syst Rev 2011;9(27):1132-92.
3.  Fond G, Vidal M, Joseph M. Self-stigma in schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 37 studies from 25 high- and low-to-middle income countries. Mol Psychiatry 2023;28:1920–31.
4.  Aranda AM, Helms WS, Patterson KDW, Roulet TJ, Hudson BA. Standing on the Shoulders of Goffman: Advancing a Relational Research Agenda on Stigma. Business Society 2023;62(7):1339-77.
5.  Pescosolido BA, Halpern-Manners A, Luo L, Perry B. Trends in Public Stigma of Mental Illness in the US, 1996-2018. JAMA Netw Open 2021;4(12):e2140202.
6.  Hatzenbuehler ML, Phelan JC, Link BG. Stigma as a fundamental cause of population health inequalities. Am J Public Health 2013;103(5):813-21.
7.  Best RK, Arseniev-Koehler A. The Stigma of Diseases: Unequal Burden, Uneven Decline. Amer Sociological Rev 2005;88(5):938-69.
8.  Stangl AL, Earnshaw VA, Logie CH, van Brakel W, C Simbayi L, Barré I, Dovidio JF. The Health Stigma and Discrimination Framework: a global, crosscutting framework to inform research, intervention development, and policy on health-related stigmas. BMC Med 2019;17(1):31.
9.  Brown AF, Ma GX, Miranda J, Eng E, Castille D, Brockie T, Jones P, Airhihenbuwa CO, Farhat T, Zhu L, Trinh-Shevrin C. Structural Interventions to Reduce and Eliminate Health Disparities. Am J Public Health 2019;109(S1):S72-8.
10. Meghrajani VR, Marathe M, Sharma R, Potdukhe A, Wanjari MB, Taksande AB. A Comprehensive Analysis of Mental Health Problems in India and the Role of Mental Asylums. Cureus 2023;15(7):e42559.
11. Koschorke M, Padmavati R, Kumar S, Cohen A, Weiss HA, Chatterjee S, Pereira J, Naik S, John S, Dabholkar H, Balaji M, Chavan A, Varghese M, Thara R, Thornicroft G, Patel V. Experiences of stigma and discrimination of people with schizophrenia in India. Soc Sci Med 2014;123:149-59.
12. Raguram R, Raghu TM, Vounatsou P, Weiss MG. Schizophrenia and the cultural epidemiology of stigma in Bangalore, India. J Nerv Ment Dis 2004;192(11):734-44.
13. Tanaka-Matsumi J. Counseling Across Cultures: A Half-Century Assessment. J Cross-Cult Psychol 2023;53(7-8):957-75.
14. Math SB, Basavaraju V, Harihara SN, Gowda GS, Manjunatha N, Kumar CN, Gowda M. Mental Healthcare Act 2017 - Aspiration to action. Indian J Psychiatry 2019;61(Suppl 4):S660-6.
15. Pescosolido BA, Halpern-Manners A, Luo L, Perry B. Trends in Public Stigma of Mental Illness in the US, 1996-2018. JAMA Netw Open 2021;4(12):e2140202.
16. Solmi M, Seitidis G, Mavridis D. et al. Incidence, prevalence, and global burden of schizophrenia - data, with critical appraisal, from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) 2019. Mol Psychiatry 2023;28:5319–27.
17. Angermeyer MC, Grausgruber A, Hackl E, Moosbrugger R, Prandner D. Evolution of public beliefs about schizophrenia and attitudes towards those afflicted in Austria over two decades. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2021;56(8):1427-35.
18. Stangl AL, Earnshaw VA, Logie CH, van Brakel W, C Simbayi L, Barré I, Dovidio JF. The Health Stigma and Discrimination Framework: a global, crosscutting framework to inform research, intervention development, and policy on health-related stigmas. BMC Med 2019;17(1):31.
19. Thornicroft G, Sunkel C, Alikhon Aliev A, Baker S, Brohan E, El Chammay R, Davies K, Demissie M, Duncan J, Fekadu W, Gronholm PC, Guerrero Z, Gurung D, Habtamu K, Hanlon C, Heim E, Henderson C, Hijazi Z, Hoffman C, Hosny N, Huang FX, Kline S, Kohrt BA, Lempp H, Li J, London E, Ma N, Mak WWS, Makhmud A, Maulik PK, Milenova M, Morales Cano G, Ouali U, Parry S, Rangaswamy T, Rüsch N, Sabri T, Sartorius N, Schulze M, Stuart H, Taylor Salisbury T, Vera San Juan N, Votruba N, Winkler P. The Lancet Commission on ending stigma and discrimination in mental health. Lancet 2022;400(10361):1438-80.
20. Gronholm PC, Kline S, Lamba M, Lempp H, Mahkmud A, Morales Cano G, Vashisht K, Vera San Juan N, Sunkel C. Exploring perspectives of stigma and discrimination among people with lived experience of mental health conditions: a co-produced qualitative study. E Clin Med 2024;70:102509.
21. Adil M, Atiq I, Ellahi A. Stigmatization of schizophrenic individuals and its correlation to the fear of violent offence. Should we be concerned? Ann Med Surg (Lond) 2022;82:104666.
22. Stangl AL, Earnshaw VA, Logie CH, van Brakel W, C Simbayi L, Barré I, Dovidio JF. The Health Stigma and Discrimination Framework: a global, crosscutting framework to inform research, intervention development, and policy on health-related stigmas. BMC Med 2019;17(1):31.

23. Zay Hta MK, Ting RS, Goh PH, Gan QH, Jones L. A systematic review on the cultural factors associated with stigma during pandemics. Curr Psychol 2023;15:1-32.
24. Nyblade L, Stockton MA, Giger K, Bond V, Ekstrand ML, Lean RM, Mitchell EMH, Nelson RE, Sapag JC, Siraprapasiri T, Turan J, Wouters E. Stigma in health facilities: why it matters and how we can change it. BMC Med 2019;17(1):25.
25. Gerlinger G., Hauser M., De Hert M., Lacluyse K., Wampers M., Correll C.U. Personal stigma in schizophrenia spectrum disorders: a systematic review of prevalence rates, correlates, impact and interventions. World Psychiatry 2013;12(2):155–64.
26. Frost DM, Castro D. An integrated understanding of the mechanisms linking social stigma to mental health among marginalized populations. Natl Mentl Health 2024;2:645–54.
27. Rasool MF, Rehman AU, Imran I, Abbas S, Shah S, Abbas G, Khan I, Shakeel S, Ahmad Hassali MA, Hayat K. Risk Factors Associated with Medication Errors Among Patients Suffering From Chronic Disorders. Front Pub Health 2020;8:531038.
28. Lowther-Payne HJ, Ushakova A, Beckwith A. et al. Understanding inequalities in access to adult mental health services in the UK: a systematic mapping review. BMC Health Serv Res 2023;23:1042.
29. Corrigan PW, Rao D. On the self-stigma of mental illness: stages, disclosure, and strategies for change. Can J Psychiatry 2012;57(8):464-9.
30. Jahn DR, Leith J, Muralidharan A, Brown CH, Drapalski AL, Hack S, Lucksted A. The influence of experiences of stigma on recovery: Mediating roles of internalized stigma, self-esteem, and self-efficacy. Psychiatr Rehabil J 2020;43(2):97-105.
31. Ahmedani BK. Mental Health Stigma: Society, Individuals, and the Profession. J Soc Work Values Ethics 2011;8(2):411-6.
32. Moitra M, Owens S, Hailemariam M, Wilson KS, Mensa-Kwao A, Gonese G, Kamamia CK, White B, Young DM, Collins PY. Global Mental Health: Where We Are and Where We Are Going. Curr Psychiatry Rep 2023;25(7):301-11.
33. Walsh DAB, Foster JLH. A Call to Action. A Critical Review of Mental Health Related Anti-stigma Campaigns. Front Pub Health 2021;8:569539.
34. Catalano LT, Brown CH, Lucksted A, Hack SM, Drapalski AL. Support for the social-cognitive model of internalized stigma in serious mental illness. J Psychiatr Res 2021;137:41-7.
35. Nakao M, Shirotsuki K, Sugaya N. Cognitive-behavioral therapy for management of mental health and stress-related disorders: Recent advances in techniques and technologies. Biopsychosoc Med 2021;15(1):16.
36. Subu MA, Holmes D, Arumugam A, Al-Yateem N, Maria Dias J, Rahman SA, Waluyo I, Ahmed FR, Abraham MS. Traditional, religious, and cultural perspectives on mental illness: a qualitative study on causal beliefs and treatment use. Int J Qual Stud Health Well-being 2022;17(1):2123090.
37. Misra S, Jackson VW, Chong J, Choe K, Tay C, Wong J, Yang LH. Systematic Review of Cultural Aspects of Stigma and Mental Illness among Racial and Ethnic Minority Groups in the United States: Implications for Interventions. Am J Commun Psychol 2021;68(3-4):486-512.
38. Gowda GS, Isaac MK. Models of Care of Schizophrenia in the Community-An International Perspective. Curr Psychiatry Rep 2022;24(3):195-202.
39. Hegde PR, Nirisha LP, Basavarajappa C, Suhas S, Kumar CN, Benegal V, Rao GN, Varghese M, Gururaj G; NMHS National Collaborators Group. Schizophrenia spectrum disorders in India: A population-based study. Indian J Psychiatry 2023;65(12):1223-9.
40. Gupta S, Sagar R. National Mental Health Programme-Optimism and Caution: A Narrative Review. Indian J Psychol Med 2018;40(6):509-16.
41. Gopalkrishnan N. Cultural Diversity and Mental Health: Considerations for Policy and Practice. Front Pub Health 2018;6:179.
42. Orsolini L, Pompili S, Volpe U. Schizophrenia: A Narrative Review of Etiopathogenetic, Diagnostic and Treatment Aspects. J Clin Med 2022;11(17):5040.
43. Krendl AC, Pescosolido BA. Countries and Cultural Differences in the Stigma of Mental Illness: The East–West Divide. J Cross-Cultural Psychol 2020;51(2):149-67.
44. Shahwan S, Goh CMJ, Tan GTH, Ong WJ, Chong SA, Subramaniam M. Strategies to Reduce Mental Illness Stigma: Perspectives of People with Lived Experience and Caregivers. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2022;19(3):1632.
45. Cohen C, Pignata S, Bezak E, et al. Workplace interventions to improve well-being and reduce burnout for nurses, physicians and allied healthcare professionals: a systematic review. BMJ Open 2023;13:e071203.

46. Tannenbaum MB, Hepler J, Zimmerman RS, Saul L, Jacobs S, Wilson K, Albarracín D. Appealing to fear: A meta-analysis of fear appeal effectiveness and theories. Psychol Bull 2015;141(6):1178-204.
47. Srivastava K, Chaudhury S, Bhat PS, Mujawar S. Media and mental health. Ind Psychiatry J 2018;27(1):1-5.
48. Waqas A, Malik S, Fida A, Abbas N, Mian N, Miryala S, Amray AN, Shah Z, Naveed S. Interventions to Reduce Stigma Related to Mental Illnesses in Educational Institutes: A Systematic Review. Psychiatr Q 2020;91(3):887-903.
49. Saran A, Hunt X, White H, Kuper H. Effectiveness of interventions for improving social inclusion outcomes for people with disabilities in low- and middle-income countries: A systematic review. Campbell Syst Rev 2023;19(1):e1316.
50. Shahwan S, Goh CMJ, Tan GTH, Ong WJ, Chong SA, Subramaniam M. Strategies to Reduce Mental Illness Stigma: Perspectives of People with Lived Experience and Caregivers. Int J Environ Res Pub Health 2022;19(3):1632.
51. Competiello, S. K., Bizer, G. Y., & Walker, D. C. (2023). The Power of Social Media: Stigmatizing Content Affects Perceptions of Mental Health Care. Social Media + Society, 9(4).
52. Livingston JD, Boyd JE. Correlates and consequences of internalized stigma for people living with mental illness: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Soc Sci Med 2010;71(12):2150-61.
53. Bolster-Foucault C, Ho Mi Fane B, Blair A. Structural determinants of stigma across health and social conditions: a rapid review and conceptual framework to guide future research and intervention. Health Promot Chronic Dis Prev Can. 2021;41(3):85-115.
54. Abellaneda-Pérez K, Potash RM, Pascual-Leone A, Sacchet MD. Neuromodulation and meditation: A review and synthesis toward promoting well-being and understanding consciousness and brain. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2024;8:105862.
55. Dieckmann L, Czamara D. Epigenetics of prenatal stress in humans: the current research landscape. Clin Epigenetics 2024;16(1):20.
56. Almeida OFX, Sousa N. Leveraging Neuroscience to Fight Stigma Around Mental Health. Front Behav Neurosci 2022;15:812184.
57. Corrigan PW, Druss BG, Perlick DA. The Impact of Mental Illness Stigma on Seeking and Participating in Mental Health Care. Psychol Sci Public Interest 2014;15(2):37-70.
58. Crossnohere, N.L., Anderson, N., Baumhauer, J. et al. A framework for implementing patient-reported outcomes in clinical care: the PROTEUS-practice guide. Nat Med 2024;30:1519–20.
59. Vela MB, Erondu AI, Smith NA, Peek ME, Woodruff JN, Chin MH. Eliminating Explicit and Implicit Biases in Health Care: Evidence and Research Needs. Annu Rev Public Health 2022;43:477-501.
60. Ee, C., Lake, J., Firth, J. et al. An integrative collaborative care model for people with mental illness and physical comorbidities. Int J Ment Health Syst 2020;14:83.
61. Bolton RE, Bokhour BG, Hogan TP, Luger TM, Ruben M, Fix GM. Integrating Personalized Care Planning into Primary Care: a Multiple-Case Study of Early Adopting Patient-Centered Medical Homes. J Gen Intern Med. 2020;35(2):428-36.
62. Ricci L, Villegente J, Loyal D, Ayav C, Kivits J, Rat AC. Tailored patient therapeutic educational interventions: A patient-centred communication model. Health Expect 2022;25(1):276-89.
63. Barlati S, Nibbio G, Vita A. Evidence-based psychosocial interventions in schizophrenia: a critical review. Curr Opin Psychiatry 2024;37(3):131-9.
64. Counts, N.Z., Vasan, A. Advancing mental health parity to ensure children's access to care. NPJ Mental Health Res 2024;3:28.
65. Waqas A, Malik S, Fida A, Abbas N, Mian N, Miryala S, Amray AN, Shah Z, Naveed S. Interventions to Reduce Stigma Related to Mental Illnesses in Educational Institutes: a Systematic Review. Psychiatr Q 2020;91(3):887-903.
66. Shahwan S, Goh CMJ, Tan GTH, Ong WJ, Chong SA, Subramaniam M. Strategies to Reduce Mental Illness Stigma: Perspectives of People with Lived Experience and Caregivers. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(3):1632.
67. McLaney E, Morassaei S, Hughes L, Davies R, Campbell M, Di Prospero L. A framework for interprofessional team collaboration in a hospital setting: Advancing team competencies and behaviours. Healthc Manage Forum. 2022 Mar;35(2):112-7.
68. Hatzenbuehler ML. Advancing Research on Structural Stigma and Sexual Orientation Disparities in Mental Health Among Youth. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol 2017;46(3):463-75.
69. Bejerholm U, Roe D. Personal recovery within positive psychiatry. Nordic J Psychiatry 2018;72(6):420-30.
70. Gray AJ. Stigma in psychiatry. J Royal Soc Med 2002;95(2):72-6.

71. Shih M. Positive stigma: Examining resilience and empowerment in overcoming stigma. Ann Amer Acad Polit Soc Sci 2004;591(1):175-85.
72. Tang MW, Cheng Y, Zhang YH, Liu SJ. Effect of a positive psychology expressive writing on stigma, hope, coping style, and quality of life in hospitalized female patients with schizophrenia: a randomized, controlled trial. Perspect Psychiatr Care 2023;1:1577352.
73. Stuart H. Fighting the stigma caused by mental disorders: past perspectives, present activities, and future directions. World Psychiatry 2008;7(3):185.
74. Brouwers EP. Social stigma is an underestimated contributing factor to unemployment in people with mental illness or mental health issues: position paper and future directions. BMC Psychol 2020;8:1-7.
75. Kutcher S, Wei Y, Coniglio C. Mental health literacy: Past, present, and future. Canadian J Psychiatry 2016;61(3):154-8.
76. Quinn DM, Williams MK, Weisz BM. From discrimination to internalized mental illness stigma: The mediating roles of anticipated discrimination and anticipated stigma. Psychiatr Rehabil J 2015;38(2):103.
77. Sadler JZ. Commentary: Stigma, conscience, and science in psychiatry: Past, present, and future. Acad Med 2009;84(4):413-7.

**********************************